

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE J		PAGE OF PAGES 1 13	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 26-May-2016		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC NORTHWEST 1101 TAUTOG CIRCLE SILVERDALE WA 98315-1101		CODE N44255		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N44255-16-R-9003	
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 24-May-2016	
						10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
						10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE					
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) This amendment to Request for Proposal (RFP) N44255-16-R-9003 FEAD Painting IDIQ is hereby issued to correct administrative errors in the solicitation.							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
				TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 26-May-2016	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 0001

1. This amendment to the RFP provides correction to administrative errors found in the solicitation after RFP issuance.
2. Change RFP Section 00010, Instruction to Offerors, Page #4, Section #3 Pre-Proposal Conference, Paragraph 3.4 to read:

“security gates of Naval Base Kitsap (NBK) Bangor vice security gates of Naval Magazine Indian Island”.
3. Change RFP Section 00010, Instruction to Offerors, Page #6, Evaluation Factors for Award, Paragraph 1 to read:

“B7NTYF Preparation and Paint Exterior of BEQs B/2209 & B/2304, NBK Bangor, Silverdale, WA vice B7CZ9Y Replacement of Asphalt Naval Magazine, NBK Indian Island, WA”.

SECTION 00010 - SOLICITATION CONTRACT FORM

The Issued By organization has changed from

NAVFAC NORTHWEST
1101 TAUTOG CIRCLE
SILVERDALE WA 98315-1101

to

NAVFAC NORTHWEST
NAVAC NORTHWEST
PUBLIC WORKS DEPT. BANGOR
T-075 SKATE STREET
SILVERDALE WA 98315-1101

The following have been modified:

INSTRUCTION TO OFFERORS

1. INQUIRIES. Offerors who determine that the technical and/or contractual requirements of this RFP require clarification(s) in order to permit submittal of a responsive technical proposal shall submit all questions in writing on a Pre-Proposal Inquiry (PPI) Form, Attachment A. Inquiries shall follow the instructions on Attachment A and be submitted via e-mail to leroy.rushing@navy.mil. **Submit only one inquiry per Form.** Pre-proposals inquiries will be accepted up to ten (10) days prior to the due date of the proposals. A response will be provided via amendment to the solicitation.

2. PROPOSAL SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

2.1 Pursuant to FAR 4.502, all solicitation documents will be posted on the Navy Electronic Commerce Online (NECO) website at <https://www.neco.navy.mil/>.

2.2 Proposals submitted in response to this solicitation shall be submitted in hardcopy and formatted and submitted as follows:

Offerors shall affix their names and return addresses to the upper left corner of the proposal packages. Each package shall include the solicitation number and must be sealed. Submit proposals to:

Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Northwest
Attn: Mr. LeRoy Rushing
1101 Tautog Circle, Suite 319
Silverdale, WA 98315-1101

Email or Facsimile transmissions of proposals, acknowledgement of amendments or modifications of proposals is not allowed.

If the Offeror is mailing its proposal, mail to the address above. If the Offeror is hand delivering its proposal to NAVFAC Northwest building 1101, please call LeRoy Rushing at (360) 396-0084 to make arrangements prior to your arrival, to be met at the lobby. Contractors shall not arrive at the building unannounced. **PLEASE NOTE:** The address listed above is within a controlled area (badge access). Allow yourself ample time for parking and security delays.

For Offerors who are hand-delivering its proposal and have not made arrangements for badges, NAVFAC Northwest personnel will be at Pass & ID, Building 1035, Naval Base Kitsap, Bangor, for one hour, prior to the proposal submittal deadline. Please call LeRoy Rushing at (360) 396-0084 to make arrangements prior to your arrival.

Whenever required by the factors, use the factor mandated attachments. For narratives aside from the required forms, the paper dimension shall be 8 ½ x 11". The font size shall be no smaller than 11 pitch. Each copy of the proposal shall be securely fastened/ bound. Tab and label all sections and attachments. Provide a table of contents.

Each copy of the proposal shall be securely fastened/ bound. Tab and label all attachments. Provide a table of contents. For recycling purposes, a soft cover or title sheet is sufficient. **THE PRICE PROPOSAL SHALL BE SUBMITTED SEPARATE FROM THE TECHNICAL PROPOSAL**

- Price Proposal (submitted separately from the Technical Proposal): one (1) original price proposal with original signature and date and one (1) copy on CD. No additional hardcopy Price Proposals are requested.
- Technical Proposal (submitted separately from the Price Proposal): one (1) original technical proposal; three (3) additional hardcopy proposals; and one (1) copy on CD.
- The original proposals shall be identified as "Original" on the cover. See Proposal submission requirements for additional details.

In the event of discrepancies between the original proposal, additional hardcopy proposals, and/or CD copy of proposal, the original proposal shall take precedence.

CLOSING DATE AND LATE SUBMISSIONS. The closing date and time for receipt of Proposals shall be as follows:

Proposals shall be received no later than **2:00 PM**, local time on **July 01, 2016**. **NO EMAIL or FACSIMILE PROPOSALS WILL BE ALLOWED.**

Late submissions shall be handled in accordance with FAR 52.215-1, INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERS – COMPETITIVE ACQUISITION (JAN 2004) reference subparagraphs (c)(3)(ii)(A) and (1), and FAR 15.208.

A cover letter shall accompany both the Technical and Price Proposal. The cover letter at a minimum should include:

- (a) The solicitation number;
- (b) The name, address, telephone and facsimile numbers, and email addresses of the Offeror;
- (c) The DUNS Number, CAGE Code, and Tax Identification Number (TIN) of the Offeror;
- (d) A statement specifying the extent of agreement with all terms, conditions, and provisions included in the solicitation and agreement to furnish any or all items upon which prices are offered at the price set opposite each item;
- (e) Names, titles, phone and facsimile numbers, and email addresses of persons authorized to negotiate on the Offeror's behalf with the Government in connection with this solicitation and;
- (f) Name, title, and signature of person authorized to sign the proposal. Proposals signed by an agent shall be accompanied by evidence of that agent's authority, unless that evidence has been previously furnished to the issuing office.

Technical Proposals shall be organized and include the following:

Tab 1: Factor 1 – Management Approach

Tab 2: Factor 2 – Experience

Tab 3: Factor 3 – Past Performance

Tab 4: Factor 4 – Safety

Offerors are asked to submit only the information/Attachments required. Do not submit any additional information such as brochures, or other pre-printed materials.

3. PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE

3.1 Pre-Proposal Conference/Site Visit: A pre-proposal conference will be held. The pre-proposal conference will commence on **Wednesday, June 01, 2016 at 11:00AM local time at Bangor Chapel, 2900 Ohio Street, Silverdale, WA**. The site visit will be immediately after the pre-proposal conference. The Government will provide transportation from the pre-proposal conference to the site walk. **All offerors wishing to attend the site visit must complete the BAVR request to gaining access to US Navy installations. See Section 3.4 "Security Requirements"**.

3.2 All prospective Offerors are urged to attend this conference. Offerors are to submit any questions on a PPI Form by email to leroy.rushing@navy.mil after attendance of the conference. Questions submitted on the proper PPI Form will be answered after the conference to all potential Offerors via amendment.

3.3 All prospective Offerors are advised that this solicitation will remain unchanged unless it is amended in writing, regardless of any verbal responses provided during the site visit.

3.4 Security requirements to attend the pre-proposal conference / site visit.

The location for the site visit will be conducted within the security gates of Naval Base Kitsap (NBK) Bangor. Offerors who are attending the site visit will be required to submit a BAVR request. The BAVR system may be accessed at the following link: <https://www.bavr.cnmc.navy.mil>. BAVR requests shall be submitted **at least five (5) working days** prior to the site visit or when access to a site is required.

BAVR requests shall provide the following into the fields specified:

- 1. Command You Are Visiting: "NBK Bangor"
- 2. Sponsor E-mail: "Scott.Skolnik@navy.mil"
- 3. Purpose of Visit: "Need a day pass to attend Pre-proposal Site Visit for BEQ exterior painting project".

Once your BAVR request has been approved an e-mail will be generated confirming the approval. On the day of the site visit or performance of a Task Order begins, check in at Pass & ID with the appropriate citizenship

documentation: **Valid Passport or State Certified Birth Certification (the Birth Certificate shall be the original in good condition) AND one piece of valid photo ID. ESCORT WILL NOT BE PROVIDED BY THE GOVERNMENT.**

4. SYSTEM FOR AWARD MANAGEMENT (SAM)

The System for Award Management (SAM) www.sam.gov is combining federal procurement systems and the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance into one new system. This consolidation is being done in phases. The first phase of SAM includes the functionality from the following systems: * Central Contractor Registry (CCR) * Federal Agency Registration (Fedreg) * Online Representations and Certifications Application (ORCA) * Excluded Parties List System (EPLS). Contractors must be registered in SAM prior to award of a DoD contract. A contract cannot be awarded to a contractor not registered in SAM. Remember to review your NAICS codes listed in your SAM record to make sure that you have listed the NAICS code for this procurement. Please note that Offerors proposing as a Joint Venture must have a current registration in SAM for that Joint Venture prior to contract award.

For additional information, including how to register, please go to www.sam.gov.

5. FEDERAL CONTRACTOR PROGRAM

In accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 22.1303, any contractor or subcontractor with a contract of \$100,000 or more with the Federal Government must take affirmative action to hire and promote qualified targeted veterans which includes, special disabled veterans, veterans of the Vietnam-era, recently separated veterans, and any other veterans who served on active duty during a war or in a campaign or expedition for which a campaign badge has been authorized.

Companies must file an annual VETS-4212 report, which shows the number of targeted veterans in their work force by job category, hiring location, and number of new hires, including targeted veterans hired during the reporting period and the maximum number and minimum number of employees of such contractor during the period covered by the report. Instructions, information and follow-up assistance is provided at VETS-4212 Internet site at <http://www.dol.gov/vets/VETS-4212.html> or employers may contact the VETS-4212 office at (866) 237-0275 or e-mail at [http://www.dol.gov/vets/vets4212.html](mailto:vetshelp@dol.gov). A contract cannot be awarded to a contractor that has not submitted a required annual form VETS-4212, Federal Contractor Veterans' Employment Report (VETS-4212 Report) if subject to the reporting requirements of 38 U.S.C. 4212(d) for that fiscal year. A confirmation of the VETS-4212 Report filing shall be submitted with the Proposal, if applicable.

6. INCURRED EXPENSES

The Government is not responsible for any costs incurred or associated with preparation and submission of a proposal in response to this solicitation.

7. PROJECT PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

No plans and specifications will be included in the basic contract. Each task order request for proposal will be issued with its own plans and specifications specific to that project.

8. COMMENCEMENT OF WORK

No work under this contract will commence until such time as the Contracting Officer issues a Task Order, either in writing or orally. Oral task orders will be confirmed by the issuance of a written Task Order within five (5) working days.

BASIS FOR AWARD

1. The Government reserves the right to eliminate from consideration for award any or all offers at any time prior to award of the contract; to negotiate with offerors in the competitive range; and to award the contract to the offeror submitting the lowest priced, technically acceptable offer IAW FAR Part 15.101-2.
2. As stated in the solicitation, the Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. In addition, if the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.
3. The LPTA process is selected as appropriate for this acquisition because the best value is expected to result from selection of a technically acceptable proposal with the lowest evaluated price. In order to permit efficient competition, the following methodology will be utilized. Proposals will initially be screened for price and placed in order of price (lowest price to highest price). The Government will then evaluate the technical factors of the three (3) lowest priced proposals in accordance with the criteria for acceptability set forth in the solicitation. However, the Government, at its sole discretion, reserves the right to increase the number of proposals it will review under this methodology. If a proposal is found to be technically acceptable, the Government will make award to the offeror with the lowest-price proposals found to be technically acceptable. The lowest priced contract offeror will be awarded the Seed Project and satisfy the minimum guarantee of \$25,000.00. If not, groups of three (3) proposals will continue to be evaluated in the order of lowest price to highest price until an offer is found to be technically acceptable. Accordingly, under this methodology, the technical factors of some proposals may not be evaluated by the Navy. If discussions are deemed necessary by the Contracting Officer, all proposals will be evaluated (both technical and price) for the purpose of establishing a competitive range. At no time during the technical evaluation will the SSEB be made aware of the offerors' pricing, nor their particular price ranking.
4. An overall non-price factors rating must be at least "ACCEPTABLE" in order to be eligible for award. An "UNACCEPTABLE" rating in any factor results in the overall non-price factors proposal being rated "UNACCEPTABLE" unless corrected through discussions. An overall non-price factors rating of "UNACCEPTABLE" makes a proposal ineligible for award. If an offeror receives an "UNACCEPTABLE" rating in any non-price factor, no additional proposal evaluation will be performed. Accordingly, under this methodology, some of the technical factors of some of the evaluated proposals may not be evaluated by the Navy.

EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

1. This procurement is for the award of a FEAD Interior and Exterior Painting IDIQ, to provide construction Interior and Exterior Painting of Navy facilities located primarily in the Washington Puget Sound Region. The Seed Project is B7NTYF Preparation and Paint Exterior of BEQs B/2209 & B/2304, NBK Bangor, Silverdale, WA. The solicitation requires the evaluation of price and the following non-price factors:

Price

Non-Price Factors:

Factor 1 – Management Approach

Factor 2 – Experience

Factor 3 – Past Performance

Factor 4 – Safety

2. Basis of Evaluation and Submittal Requirements for Each Factor.

Price:

PRICE: "Seed Project B7NTYF Preparation and Paint Exterior of BEQs B/2209 & B/2304, NBK Bangor, Silverdale, WA.

To be evaluated as acceptable the offeror's price proposal shall provide all of the following required information:

- Solicitation Submittal Requirements: Offeror will complete and submit the following:
- Cover letter in accordance with FAR 52.215-1(c)(2), including DUNS number;
- Standard Form 1442, MACC N44255-16-R-9003 (Solicitation, Offer, and Award) - Blocks 14 through 20c;
- Seed Project, Task Order N44255-16-R-9003/0001, SF 1442 blocks 14 through 20c;
- Acknowledgement of all amendments;
- Complete Section 00010, Schedule of Prices for Contract Line Item Number (CLIN) 0001 shall be the entire work complete and in accordance with the solicitation;
- Complete Representations and Certifications in the RFP; including the supplemental certifications include in Section 00600, ensure SAM is updated or current;
- Completed Proposed Change Order Markup Rate Worksheet;
- Letter from the Offeror's surety. The letter should verify the Offeror has a minimum of \$2,000,000.00 bonding capacity per project and provide the Offeror's aggregate maximum bonding capacity of at least \$10,000,000.00, and;
- Executed Bid Bond in the amount of 20% of the seed project. (to be submitted hard copy);

Basis of Evaluation of Total Price:

The Government will evaluate price based on the Seed Project, Task Order N44255-16-R-9003/0001. Total price consists of the price of Contract Line Item (CLIN) 0001 and the Change Order Markup Rates (Attachment B).

For evaluation purposes, the total price will include the following:

- Price will be evaluated using Line 36 of NAVFAC Form 4330/43 (8/88) (Attachment C). Line 36 represents the sum of the offerors CLIN 0001 and Line 34. Line 34 represents a hypothetical change to the project and its associated cost. However, it shall be noted that ultimate award of the contract will be in the amount of CLIN 0001 and the successful offeror's proposed markup rates will be included in the contract award for use on future modifications. To be evaluated as acceptable the offeror shall provide a NAVFAC 4330/43 (8/88) and the proposal adhered to the instructions below. Failure to submit the NAVFAC 4330/43 (8/88) will result in an unacceptable rating. Failure to adhere to the instructions provided in the RFP or complete the NAVFAC 4330/43 (8/88) accurately may result in an unacceptable rating.

Offerors note that no overhead or profit may be applied to bond expenses when developing the overall evaluated price.

- Seed Project, Task Order N44255-16-R-9003/0001, SF 1442 blocks 14 through 20c;
- A Bid Bond in the amount of 20% of the Seed Project. The Bid Bond will be evaluated for accuracy and completeness in accordance with FAR 28.101.
- Letter from the Offeror's surety. The letter should verify the Offeror has a minimum of \$2,000,000.00 bonding capacity per project and provide the Offeror's aggregate maximum bonding capacity of at least \$10,000,000.00.

- Evaluation of the price proposal will determine the reasonableness of the offeror's proposal.
- Evaluation will determine the offeror's comprehension of the requirements of the RFP as well as to assess the degree to which the proposed price accurately reflects proposed performance.
- A price found to be either unreasonably high or unrealistically low in relation to the proposed work may negatively impact the offeror's ranking.

In accordance with FAR 15.404-1, Proposal Analysis Techniques, analysis will be performed by one or more of the following techniques to ensure a fair and reasonable price for the Seed Project Task Order N44255-16-R-9003/0001:

- Comparison of total proposed price received in response to the solicitation;
- Comparison of proposed price received in response to the solicitation to the Independent Government Estimate;
- Comparison of proposed price received in response to the solicitation with available historical information;
- Comparison of proposed price received in response to the solicitation with market research;

OFFEROR REQUIREMENTS FOR PROVIDING THE PROPOSAL/ESTIMATE FOR CONTRACT MODIFICATIONS.

- Using NAVFAC Form 4330/43 (8/88), enter offeror's CLIN 0001 amount on line 3 under the heading titled "Revisions/Comments".
- Enter 2% of offeror's CLINs 0001 price on Line 8.
- Enter 3% of offeror's CLINs 0001 price on Line 18.
- Enter proposed change order markup rates from Attachment A on lines 9, 19, 22, 23, 28, 29 & 30.
- The subtotal cost (Line 34) will be calculated using the information entered above.
- Line 36 is calculated as the sum of CLIN 0001 and Line 34.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RELATED TO THE CHANGE ORDER MARKUP RATES

- The Change Order markup rates will become part of the successful Offeror's resultant contract and will be applied to the direct costs of contract modifications. Any modification exceeding \$700,000.00 will be negotiated in accordance with FAR Part 15, DFAR Part 215, and any other applicable Federal Regulations.
- The change order markup cost elements will be used as the markups for both additive and deductive modifications for both the prime and subcontractors.
- Field Overhead will be evaluated as a percent mark-up and not a direct cost to the change proposal.

Field overhead costs cover indirect costs incurred in this project that are chargeable only to this contract and include costs incurred at the job site incident to the performance of work, including but not limited to the cost of engineering, job site supervision, engineer, secretaries, tool shed keeper, temporary office supplies, protection, barricades (rented), haul roads, clean-up, progress reports, equipment, superintendent's truck, truck for clean-up, and fringe benefits for supervisory and administrative personnel.

Technical Factors:

NOTE 1: "Offeror" refers to the entity submitting the proposal, as determined by the name, address, DUNS number, and CAGE code that appears in Block 14 of the SF 1442 for construction solicitations or Block 15 of the SF 33 for service solicitations. If the offeror's proposal presents the experience, past performance, or safety data of any entity with a DUNS number or CAGE code that differs from that in the SF 1442 OR SF 33, the information will not be considered unless the offeror establishes a basis for imputing the other entity's data to the offeror. The Government

will not assume or independently investigate the existence and nature of a relationship between the offeror and any other entity that has a different DUNS number and CAGE code. The offeror must clearly explain why another entity's experience, past performance, or safety record is relevant to or indicative of the offeror's ability to perform this contract. A mere statement describing a corporate affiliation is insufficient; the offeror must also establish how the connection or nexus between it and the other entity relates to the offeror's qualifications. The explanation will be limited to one double-sided page (or two single-sided pages), and must be included under Factor 2, Experience. An offeror's failure to adequately justify a basis for crediting it with another entity's experience, past performance, or safety data could result in a deficiency, thereby making the offeror ineligible for award without discussions.

Note 2: While the Government may elect to consider data obtained from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate, and complete management, experience, past performance, and safety information rests with the offeror.

Note 3: The page limitation for each factor is provided in the submission requirements for each factor found later in this section. Page limitations will be strictly enforced. Any pages submitted in excess of the page limitations will not be reviewed and any data or material they contain will not be considered. Specific submission items which are excluded from the page count are listed in each factor later in this section.

Note 4: Projects submitted for Factor 2, Experience, shall be the same projects submitted for Factor 3, Past Performance.

Note 5: Failure to meet solicitation submittal requirements may result in an unacceptable rating.

Factor 1, Management Approach

Management Approach:

Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The composition and management of the firms proposed as the team for this contract will be evaluated in this factor.

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

(1) Provide a narrative describing the proposed primary construction firms and sub-contractor firms for this contract and the rationale for proposing this arrangement. Provide the role, responsibilities, and contractual relationships between the various firms (see FAR Subpart 9.6). The narrative shall also include a simple organizational chart that clearly identifies the lines of authority between the entities. If the experience of an entity is being claimed in Factor 2, that entity must be named in the above narrative and organizational chart.

The technical approach narrative shall be limited to two (2) double-sided page (or four (4) single-sided pages) including the organizational chart. The information requested in item #2 below is not included in this page limitation.

(2) In addition to the narrative, the Offeror shall submit a signed copy of a joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, teaming agreement, approved mentor protégé agreement (MPA), or letter of commitment for each member of the Offeror's team identified above (e.g., joint venture member, partner, team member, subcontractor, parent company, subsidiary, or other affiliated company, etc.). The JV agreement, partnership agreement, MPA, letter of commitment is not included in the page restriction.

Basis of Evaluation:

The assessment of the Offeror's technical approach will be used as a means to evaluate the organizational structure and teaming relationships proposed by the Offeror. This factor will be rated on an Acceptable or Unacceptable basis.

Factor 2, Experience:**Solicitation Submittal Requirements:**

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

Construction Experience:

Submit at least two (2) with a maximum of five (5) individual relevant Interior and Exterior Painting projects of similar size, scope and complexity as described below substantially completed (80%) within the past five (5) years for the offeror that demonstrates relevant experience. For purposes of this evaluation, similar in size, scope, and complexity is further defined as:

Size: Similar in size is defined as projects between \$3,500.00 and \$750,000.00. Out of the two (2) to five (5) projects submitted by the offeror, the selected projects shall be able to demonstrate experience with at least one (1) project between \$50,000.00 and \$100,000.00 and one (1) relevant project between \$350,000.00 and \$750,000.00.

Submit at least two (2) with a maximum of five (5) individual relevant projects of similar size, scope and complexity as described below substantially completed (80%) within the past five (5) years for the offeror that demonstrates relevant experience. For purposes of this evaluation, similar in size, scope, and complexity is further defined as:

Scope: Similar in scope are projects involving interior and exterior painting. The scope of projects can range from prepping and painting single story dwelling units to multiple story industrial facilities. The types of siding encountered will include but not be limited to wood, metal, masonry, CMU block, transite, and concrete materials. Interior work will include but not be limited to prepping and painting or staining of drywall finishes, wood, metal, medium density fiber board (MDF), concrete, and CMU block.

Offerors must demonstrate experience, at least once in the individual relevant projects:

One project shall be a complete painting project that includes pressure washing, following stringent environmental controls as required in any abatement processes or local regulation. Prepping of the substrate shall include (But not be limited to) masking, sanding, scraping, patching, and caulking, following all the environmental requirements for the abatement of hazardous metals and asbestos containing coatings and substrates. The paint projects may range from a simple interior hallway or bathroom to the exterior of a 100,000 square foot commercial facility.

One project shall include coordinating painting activities in and around an occupied facility with ongoing operations such as an occupied barracks or office facility.

One project shall include the use of environmental controls to prevent / contain overspray or drips around sensitive equipment such as office components, computer server rooms, air handler intakes, or the presence of vehicles or vehicular traffic.

Complexity: Similar in complexity is considered as managing projects with limited site access, short construction schedule, compliance with complex environmental regulations, or work in an industrial environment.

Projects submitted for the Offeror shall be completed, or substantially complete, within the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this RFP. The term "substantially complete" shall mean a construction project with construction of the facility/project more than 80% complete.

The requirement for acceptability will be based upon the projects submitted by the Offeror in its proposal, Offerors failing to provide at least two (2) relevant projects within the specified time frame demonstrating experience in the above may be rated unacceptable.

A project is defined as a construction project performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment D) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods).

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV) or Mentor Protégé (MP), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the Joint Venture/Mentor Protégé entity. If the Joint Venture/Mentor Protégé does not have shared experience, projects shall be submitted for each Joint Venture/Mentor Protégé partner. Offerors are still limited to a total of five (5) projects combined. Joint Venture/Mentor Protégé offers must include at least two relevant projects for each partner. If the Joint Venture/Mentor Protégé includes more than two firms, the team's submission shall include at least one relevant project for each firm. Offeror may receive an unacceptable rating if the minimum number of projects for each firm is not submitted.

Basis of Evaluation:

The basis of evaluation will include the Offeror's demonstrated experience and depth of experience in performing relevant construction defined in the solicitation submittal requirements. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP. The Government will only review up to five (5) projects for construction. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) for Construction Experience will not be considered.

Factor 3, Past Performance:

Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

If a completed Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in factor 2 for construction experience. If there is not a completed CPARS evaluation then submit Past Performance Questionnaires (Attachment E) for each project included in Factor 2. The Offeror should provide completed Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQ) in the proposal. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation. If the Offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the Offeror shall complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ, which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). The Government may make reasonable attempts to contact the client noted for that project(s) to obtain the PPQ information. However, Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to help ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact, LeRoy W. Rushing, leroy.rushing@navy.mil.

Offerors may provide any information on problems encountered and the corrective actions taken on projects submitted under Factor 2 – Experience. Offerors may also address any adverse past performance issues. Explanations shall not exceed two (2) double-sided pages (or four (4) single-sided pages) in total. Offerors who receive a less than Satisfactory rating for any project submitted in Factor 2 shall submit this narrative. Offerors who have a less than Satisfactory rating for any project submitted in Factor 2 and who do not provide this narrative may receive an unacceptable rating.

The Government reserves the right to contact references for verification or additional information. The Government's inability to contact any of the Offeror's references or the references unwillingness to provide the information requested may affect the Government's evaluation of this factor.

Performance awards or additional information submitted will not be considered.

Basis of Evaluation:

This evaluation focuses on how well the Offeror performed on the relevant projects submitted under Factor 2 – Experience and past performance on other projects currently documented in known sources. More emphasis will be placed on more relevant projects. In addition to the above, the Government reserves the right to obtain information for use in the evaluation of past performance from any and all sources including sources outside of the Government. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of Contractors who are part of a partnership or joint venture identified in the Offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the Offeror.

The Government will consider the currency and relevance of the information, the source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in the Contractor's performance. This evaluation is separate and distinct from the Contracting Officer's responsibility determination. The assessment of the Offeror's past performance will be used as a means of evaluating the Offeror's probability to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP.

Offerors whose predominant (>50%) CPARS and PPQ ratings are less than Satisfactory may be rated Unacceptable.

Offerors lacking relevant past performance history will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably in past performance and will receive an Acceptable.

Factor 4, Safety:

(1) The Offeror shall submit the following information: (For a partnership or joint venture, the following submittal requirements are required for each Contractor who is part of the partnership or joint venture; however, only one safety narrative is required. TRC and DART Rates shall not be submitted for subcontractors.)

(i) OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate:

For the five (5) (2015, 2014, 2013, 2012 and 2011) previous complete calendar years, submit your OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA TRC Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA TRC Rate data should be addressed as part of this element.

OSHA TRC rates above 4.0, in any of the previous five years, may be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate.

(ii) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

For the five (5) (2015, 2014, 2013, 2012 and 2011) previous complete calendar years, submit your OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA DART Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA DART Rate data should be addressed as part of this element.

OSHA DART rates above 3.0, in any of the previous five years, may be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate.

(iii) Technical Approach for Safety:

Describe the plan that the Offeror will implement to qualify, evaluate, select and oversee its potential subcontractors. The Safety narrative shall be limited to one page. Offerors must submit both (1) a plan to include the safety performance of subcontractors in the selection process for all levels of subcontractors and (2) a plan to monitor the safety of those subcontractors during contract performance, highlighting what specific management practices will be

in place for providing deliberate safety program management and mishap prevention support to those sub-contractors whose EMR is greater than 1.0, whose TRC is greater than 4.0 and whose DART rate is greater than 3.0.

Offerors who fail to submit either of these may be rated UNACCEPTABLE.

Basis of Evaluation:

The Government is seeking to determine whether the Offeror has an acceptable safety record. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's overall safety record as evidenced by the TRC and DART rates, if the Offeror's plan includes safety in the evaluation and selection of subcontractors, and if the narrative includes a plan to monitor the safety performance of subcontractors during performance. The evaluation will collectively consider the following:

- OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate
- OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate
- Offeror Technical Approach to Safety

(i) OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate:

The Government will evaluate the OSHA TRC Rate to determine if the Offeror's OSHA TRC rate is above 4.0 and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates.

OSHA TRC rates above 4.0, in any of the previous five years, may be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate.

(ii) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

The Government will evaluate the OSHA DART Rate to determine if the Offeror's OSHA DART rate is above 3.0 and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates.

OSHA DART rates above 3.0, in any of the previous five years, may be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate.

(iii) Technical Approach to Safety:

The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine if subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the qualification, evaluation, selection, of all levels of subcontractors on the upcoming project, and both the plan to monitor the safety of those subcontractors during contract performance, highlighting what specific management practices will be in place for providing deliberate safety program management and mishap prevention support to those sub-contractors whose EMR is greater than 1.0, whose TRC is greater than 4.0 and whose DART rate is greater than 3.0.

Offerors who fail to address either of these items (i.e. whether the safety performance of subcontractors will be evaluated in the selection process for all levels of subcontractors and whether the safety of those subcontractors will be monitored during contract performance) may be rated UNACCEPTABLE.

(End of Summary of Changes)